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The Boer War: How People were Treated and Why

Throughout the Boer War both the British and the Boers were treated inhumanely by each other, each side having reasons for their actions. The Boers though, were the ethnicity who were targeted the most but the British were not excluded from being treated poorly either. The most common form of war cruelty was the British concentration camps set up throughout and in the surrounding areas of Africa. Yet each side partook in acts of war such as unjust trials, prisoners of war were taken, and deadly fire was used excessively and unnecessarily. Even though both of the opposing sides believed that they had the justification for their actions or at a minimum orders from someone who did believe it was the right thing to do the Boers were there first.

During the fighting of the Boer War the Boer’s significantly outnumbered the British. The Boers also had an advantage as the European had “difficulties in Africa in terms of geography, climate and disease” ¹ at least this is what was sent back to Britain for the newspapers. This I because the Boers have been there much longer and as John MacKenzie states that other European settlers have been able to survive successfully in Africa concluding that the European’s excuse was not very accurate. These scenarios would have led to frustration among the British as they were unable to conquer their opposition as easily as they had become accustomed to. With this frustration an outsider could conclude that the British military channelled this emotion into more violent acts.

One of the main acts was placing Boer people into concentration camps. These concentration camps were located all around Africa and some of the locations were better than others. There was one located in “Sri Lanka where five thousands Boer guerillas were [and others alike were] in India, St. Helena and Bermuda” ² Along with camps in these exotic locations there were local ones where common women and children were held. In these camps the women and children “were kicked and beaten if they disobeyed the orders” ³ as well as the women being used as sexual objects for the soldiers. In total “27,000 (many of them very young children) are estimated to have died in the concentration camps” ⁴, and the rest were most their mothers. In my opinion the reason for the concentration camps were to keep the mothers and their children contained and controlled. This would be so that they could not plot against them or join the Boer forces that already had a significantly larger army than the British. Back in Britain there were protests against the camps “and efforts were made late in the war to alleviate the situation” ⁵. This was a productive step for the British but the fact that they still constructed them in the first place will not be forgotten in the Boers of the time nor their ancestors.

Throughout the war both sides opposed the laws and practices of the other. The Boers felt with the British military invading their towns “that [there was] no security for [their] life or property” ⁶ leading them to retaliated against the new forces. The only aspect of the Boers that the British seemed to oppose was the fact that the Boer’s military was considerably larger than theirs. The British dealt with their opposition to the Boers by persecuting them for acts that they didn’t commit as well as placing them in concentration camps. This enraged the Boers, and they did fight against the British but they weren’t able to fight evenly as the British were too skill full in their military tactics.

A segment of the British military were from Scotland because at the time Scotland was under the control of the British. Early in the war “Sir Evelyn Wood had signed a peace agreement with the Boers, despite protests from the [British] queen among others” ⁷ that it would bring down Britain’s honour. This was a step forward for the Boers which later was taken from them but the British forces in the Mafeking Incident. Later, the Boer’s were successful against the small British forces of Captainn Nosbittand so they continued to go and attack Mafeking not knowing that the British had gained more men to fight their 7000. ⁸ In the end at Mafeking the Boer’s were defeated by the smart military tactics of the British.

In total the war “lasted three years between 1899 and 1902” ⁹ and in the end the British defeated the Boers. The British citizens who stayed in South Africa were only part on the privileged minority, but they were “in control of a newly fashioned Union of South Africa, which was granted virtual independence in 1910” ¹⁰. Today, South Africa is finally a democratic country, still dealing with the aftermath of the apartheid, but it is on its way to becoming a better, more prosperous nation.
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